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Descriptive Summary
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Abstract
The Colorado River Commission of Nevada Reference Library Collection at the University of Nevada, Las Vegas is composed of files and books from the Colorado River Commission of Nevada. The major portion of the collection is documents in the form of books, briefs, memoranda, motions, exhibits, etc., from the Arizona v. California litigation running from 1931 to the 1990s. The Commission used the materials as a reference library to support their role in the ongoing litigation. In support of their mission to manage and protect Nevada’s rights to the power and water from the Colorado River, the collection includes significant information regarding the Boulder Canyon Project and subsequent Adjustment Act, the Colorado River Compact, Glen Canyon Dam, Parker Dam, Davis Dam, and Colorado River Salinity in the form of correspondence, official reports, and legislative records. A large portion of the correspondence and documents is in regards to the Western Area Power Administration. The last major part of the collection is comprised operating files from the Commission, particularly the files of Gerald L. Edwards, who was the CRC’s Chief Engineer. All of these files not only supported litigation and the operation of the CRC, but also served as an information clearinghouse for information regarding the management of the Colorado River.

Language
English
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Organizational History

The institutional precursor of the Colorado River Commission was an informal commission on Colorado River development appointed by Governor Emmett Boyle in 1920. Its narrow focus was to protect the interests of Nevada in light of planned development on the waterway. The commission was formalized in 1921 by the state legislature. This group represented Nevada in the negotiation of the Colorado River Compact in 1922 and the Boulder Canyon Project Act of 1928. In 1935, the Nevada legislature created the Colorado River Commission to secure and protect Nevada’s interests and rights in the water of and power generated by the Colorado River. In 1947, the power of the CRC was expanded to make it a negotiator for the acquisition of federally owned properties. Its power was expanded again in 1957 to the acquisition of certain federal lands near Boulder City and Laughlin. The power of the Commission was again expanded by the state legislature in 1991 to allow it to enter into agreements concerning interstate and international water transfers, Colorado River augmentation, and the operation of federal dams and other facilities on the river.

In the 1960s, the CRC collaborated with the Bureau of Reclamation in the construction of the Southern Nevada Water Project. In 1971, the CRC took full control of the operation of the Southern Nevada Water Project, and the Las Vegas Valley Water District became an agent of the CRC in the operation and maintenance of the Southern Nevada Water System. The legislature transferred that authority from the CRC to the Southern Nevada Water Authority in 1996.

As specified by the legislature in 1993, the Commission is comprised of seven members. Four are appointed by the governor, and the remainder appointed by the Southern Nevada Water Authority. The governor selects one of his appointees to serves as chair. All the members must be Nevada residents, and the SNWA appointees must also serve on the SNWA Board of Directors. Current information about the members of the commission can be found at CRC.NV.Gov. The Commission must conduct its business in Clark County.

The rights and responsibilities of the CRC are spelled out in NRS 538:041-251. The main duties of the Commission are to:

- Collect and arrange all data and information connected with the Colorado River which may affect or be of interest to this state.
- Represent and act for Nevada in negotiation and execution of contracts, leases, or agreements for the planning, use, exchange, generation, purchase, or transmission of power from any source and present them to the governor for their information. The CRC can also contract directly with a corporation or cooperative for the direct sale of power.
- Represent Nevada in conferences or conventions called to consider the development of reclamation and power projects connected with the Colorado River or Hoover or any other federally operated dam.
- Negotiate, make, and enter into agreements, compacts, or treaties between Nevada and United States and the states of Arizona, California, Colorado, New Mexico, Utah, Washington, Oregon, Idaho and Wyoming, jointly or severally to settle equitably and define the rights of the U.S and the states with the waters of the Colorado River.
- Represent Nevada in consultations with other states, the U.S., foreign countries and persons and enter into agreements with these parties regarding all aspects of Colorado River management.
- Report to the Governor such measures and legislative action as it deems necessary to carry out the provisions of any law relating to the powers and duties of the Commission.
- Cooperate with other states or federal agencies to establish, conduct and maintain projects related to water or power.

The Commission may also acquire and perfect any interest in supplemental water from any source and issue securities.
Chronology

1920  • Governor Emmett Boyle appointed an informal Commission on Colorado River
development to protect the interests of Nevada in ongoing negotiations regarding
uses of the Colorado River.

1921  • Colorado River Development Commission formalized by the state legislature.
Commission members negotiate the Colorado River compact of 1922 and the
Boulder Canyon Project Act of 1928.

1922  • The seven Colorado River states negotiate the Colorado River Compact

1923  • Nevada Legislature ratifies the Colorado River Compact and re-creates the
Colorado River Development Commission.

1928  • Boulder Canyon Project authorized the construction of Hoover Dam and the
apportionment of Colorado River water, including 0.3 million acre feet to Nevada.

1931  • In Arizona v. California, 283 U. S. 423, the U. S. Supreme Court rules the Boulder
Canyon Act constitutional.

1934  • Construction begins on Parker Dam. In Arizona v. California, 292 U. S. 341, the
U. S. Supreme Court rules the Colorado River Compact constitutional.

1935  • Colorado River Commission of Nevada created by the Nevada Legislature. The
new Commission is tasked with securing and protecting Nevada’s interests and
rights in the water of and power generated by the Colorado River.

1936  • The Commission initiates a plan to bring Lake Mead water to the Las Vegas Valley
for industrial use. Signs contracts with the Lincoln County Power District and
Southern Nevada Power Company.

1942  • Parker Dam begins operation. The CRC enters into water delivery contract for
Nevada’s allocation of the Colorado River water.

1947  • Amendment to CRC’s 1935 enabling act made the Commission Nevada’s
negotiator for acquisition of federally owned properties near Henderson. The
amendment allowed the CRC to purchase and sell industrial plants, which
eventually became the Basic Management Industrial complex.

1950  • CRC signed contract for delivery of power from Davis Dam.

1954  • Las Vegas Valley Water District requested a source of “cheap” power from Basic
Management, Inc. (BMI). Subsequently, BMI sold a portion of power line to
Southern Nevada Power Company. Parker and Davis Dams consolidated into the
Parker-Davis Project.

1956  • The Colorado River Storage Project Act authorizes the construction of
hydroelectric and water storage facilities in the Upper Colorado Basin. Contract
signed for power delivery from the Colorado River Storage Project, now part of the
Salt Lake City Area Integrated Projects.

1957  • CRC’s role expanded to include acquisition of federal land when Congress gave
Nevada the option to purchase 15,000 acres in the Fort Mohave Valley near
Laughlin and 107,000 acres in Eldorado Valley near Boulder City for development
and sale.

1958  • Eldorado Valley Development Law enacted, authorizing Colorado River
Commission to acquire the Eldorado Valley Transfer Area. Construction began on
the Glen Canyon Dam.

1959 • Fort Mohave Valley Development Law enacted, authorizing Colorado River Commission to acquire the Fort Mohave Valley Transfer Area.

1960 • CRC and Bureau of Reclamation conceive the Southern Nevada Water Project, consisting of the future federal water delivery facilities of the Robert B. Griffith Water Project and the future CRC-built facilities of the Alfred Merritt Smith Water Treatment Facility.

1963 • Federal contract increased Nevada’s share of power from the Parker-Davis Project.

1964 • U. S. Supreme Court decision in Arizona v. California confirmed Nevada’s allocation of 0.3 million acre feet per year under the 1928 Boulder Canyon Project Act. The decree set many of the rules under which the river is operated.

1965 • CRC negotiates with the federal government for delivery of power from the Colorado River Storage Project to Nevada Customers. Nevada Legislature authorized the CRC to work with the Bureau of Reclamation in the construction of the Southern Nevada Water Project.

1966 • CRC begins process of purchasing land in the Fort Mohave Valley.

1967 • Funding approved for the first stage of the Southern Nevada Water System

1968 • Colorado River Commission designed and constructed the Alfred Merritt Smith Water Treatment Facility as part of the Southern Nevada Water System. Passage of the Colorado River Basin Project Act of 1968.

1971 • First phase of the Southern Nevada Water System to divert and treat Colorado River water goes operational. Control and operation of the Southern Nevada Water Project transferred to the CRC from the Bureau of Reclamation. Las Vegas Valley Water District became an agent of the CRC in the operation and maintenance of the Southern Nevada Water System.

1974 • Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Act authorized the construction, operation, and maintenance of works in the Colorado River Basin to control the salinity of Colorado River water available for use in the United States and Mexico. CRC participates in the Salinity Control Forums created by the act.

1977 • Contract signed for construction of the second stage of the Southern Nevada Water System.

1979 • Western Area Power Administration proposed a plan for reallocating Hoover Dam power, leaving Nevada with original allocation. CRC filed a lawsuit in 1982 for an increased allocation.

1982 • Second stage of the Southern Nevada Water System completed.

1983 • CRC enters into contract with the Laughlin area Big Bend Water District for the annual delivery of 10,000 acre-feet of water.

1984 • Hoover Power Plant Act doubled the amount of capacity allocated to Nevada and gave the state 63% more energy, raising Nevada's share of Hoover power from 18% to nearly 24% of the power plant output.

1985 • CRC adopted permanent regulations for marketing Nevada’s share of electric power from the Boulder Canyon and Parker-Davis Projects post-1987 after a series of public information and comment forums.

1987 • CRC approved a contract with the Western Area Power Administration and
contracts with 11 CRC customers for delivery of power from the Boulder Canyon Project (Hoover) from June 1, 1987, to September 30, 2017, in line with the Hoover Power Plant Act of 1984. CRC dismissed its lawsuit seeking an increased allocation.

1988 • CRC approved contracts with the Western Area Power Administration and contracts with its customers for delivery of power from the Parker-Davis Project from March 1, 1988, to September 30, 2008, and from the Salt Lake City Area Integrated Projects from October 1, 1989, to September 30, 2004.

1989 • CRC completed purchase of the Fort Mohave Valley Transfer Area.

1990 • CRC signed a joint resolution with the Bureau of Reclamation; the Nevada cities of Boulder City, Henderson, and North Las Vegas; the Big Bend Water District; and the Las Vegas Valley Water District establishing a joint position on the sharing of reductions in deliveries of Colorado River water to Nevada. CRC approved the assignment and transfer of Basic Management, Inc.'s Colorado River water entitlement to the City of Henderson, Nevada.

1991 • State law expanded CRC’s authority to enter into agreements concerning interstate and international water transfers, Colorado River augmentation, and the operation of federal dams and other facilities on the river. Nevada law and CRC regulations clarified the procedures and criteria to be used in acting upon applications for the original appropriation of Colorado River water.

1992 • Southern Nevada Water System improvements project began.

1993 • Nevada Legislature reorganized the Colorado River Commission by expanding it to a seven-member Commission, four appointed by the governor and three appointed by the Southern Nevada Water Authority.

1994 • Cooperative agreement was reached between the CRC and the Southern Nevada Water Authority for construction, operation, and maintenance of major capital improvements to the Southern Nevada Water System. Boulder Canyon Project Implementation Agreement signed giving Nevada and other contractors a voice in the operation of Hoover Dam. Nevada Water Summit conducted to hear proposals for bringing new water to southern Nevada, including establishing a “water bank” in the lower Colorado River basin.

1995 • Effective on January 1, 1996, Nevada law provided for the transfer of the Southern Nevada Water System from the CRC to the Southern Nevada Water Authority. Negotiations completed with the federal government for the purchase of the Eldorado Valley Transfer Area. CRC agreed to sell the land to Boulder City, Nevada, at cost. Majority of land dedicated to Clark County for an endangered species preserve.

1996 • The CRC entered in the first of a series of agreements with the Southern Nevada Water Authority to deliver power for water pumping and treatment facilities. The CRC, the SNWA, the federal government, and power customers begin a 50 year program to conserve and work toward the recovery on endangered species and protect and maintain wildlife habitat on the lower Colorado. Contract approved with the Western Area Power Administration to begin construction of the Basic Step-Down Yard Project.

1997 • The CRC begins discussions with the State of Arizona for off-stream storage of
water. Legislation confirmed the responsibility of the CRC to sell electricity and provide transmission and distribution service to meet existing and future requirements of certain power customers. Glen Canyon Adaptive Management Work Group was formed to provide stakeholders, including the CRC, with involvement in federal decisions concerning the operation of Glen Canyon Dam and Power Plant.

1998  
- The Eastside and Newport Substations, serving the Power Delivery Project, were completed and energized. CRC and the Southern Nevada Water Authority entered into an energy supply agreement under which CRC purchases electricity to serve the pumping loads of the SNWA. At the same time, and through 2001, CRC approved separate agreements with several power suppliers for this purpose. Several years of negotiations finally concluded in a contract among the Bureau of Reclamation, the Western Area Power Administration, and Project firm electric service contractors, including the CRC, to advance funds for the operation, maintenance and replacement costs of the generation facilities of the Parker-Davis Project. A parallel contract with CRC’s Parker-Davis customers was approved.

1999  
- CRC approved the River Mountains Project Construction and Ownership Agreement with Nevada Power Company and the SNWA to provide the 230-kV transmission system necessary to serve the SNWA’s facilities. CRC’s contract with the Western Area Power Administration for power from the Salt Lake City Area Integrated Projects is extended from October 1, 2004, to September 30, 2024. Secretary of the Interior adopted regulations authorizing the Secretary and the Bureau of Reclamation to enter into Colorado River water storage and interstate release agreements with authorized entities in storing states and consuming states.

2000  
- CRC began a series of workshops on the proper valuation and pass-through of the benefits of “Hoover B” power to the residential customers of the Nevada Power Company. Congress enacted the Griffith Project Repayment and Conveyance Act, directing the Secretary of the Interior to convey the Griffith Project (the federal portion of the Southern Nevada Water System) to the SNWA in return for full satisfaction of the federal repayment obligation.
Collection Scope and Content Summary

The Colorado River Commission of Nevada Reference Library Collection at the University of Nevada, Las Vegas is composed of files, reports, and books from the Colorado River Commission of Nevada. The primary use of the material was to serve as a reference library to support their role in the ongoing litigation of *Arizona v. California*. Upon deciding that the reference library was no longer needed, the Commission elected to donate it to UNLV Lied Library’s Special Collections. The gift consisted of approximately 9 linear feet of assorted correspondence, books, and numerous bound or stapled publications.

The collection is very deep for those interested in any aspect of management of the Colorado River. It covers the legal and judicial history of water and power management on the river, including seventy years of legal documentation of *Arizona v. California*, the Central Arizona Project, treaties with Mexico regarding the waterway, and relationships between the states in the river basin. This collection will be of particular interest to scholars interested in Supreme Court litigation, original jurisdiction cases, conflicts between states, water rights, power generation, federalism, southern Nevada history, the Colorado River, international waterways, Hoover, Glen Canyon, Parker, and Davis dams.

The collection is organized into three series: Files of the Colorado River Commission of Nevada, Files Relating to *Arizona v. California*, and General Reference Files. Each of the three has several subseries. Though every effort possible was made to keep the items in the collection in their original order, and some of the documents had a discernable order which was preserved, much of the order had to be imposed. Though the collection was a reference library, there was very little organization of the items on the shelves. The unprocessed boxes were a mix of books and paper files in labeled and unlabeled folders. Fortunately, most folders were on a discernable topic even if they weren’t in order. All of the subseries that were created are arranged in a roughly chronological order.

The first series is comprised of files collected by the Colorado River Commission during the course of its operation. It begins with a chronological historical archive of CRC operations and a group of working files that give a researcher a snapshot of CRC operations at the time they donated the collection to UNLV. The subseries on power illuminates the marketing of power from Hoover Dam, the congressional effort to upgrade the power plant, and Nevada’s membership in the Western Area Power Administration. It also details the arrangements made during the merger of the Nevada Power Company and the Sierra Pacific Power Company in 1998. After a subseries covering management of Colorado River water, the series closes with the work files of Gerald L. Edwards, Chief Engineer for the CRC in the 1980s and 90s.

The major portion of the collection is documents in the form of books, briefs, memoranda, motions, exhibits, etc., from the *Arizona v. California* litigation running from 1931 to the 1990s. All the bound volumes pertaining to the case were separated, so the folders contain correspondence and unbound briefs, memoranda, and motions. Though they are all referred to as *Arizona v. California*, there are actually a couple of distinct cases and issues. To figure out what case and attendant issues were involved with any particular item, the following table is included:
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Docket</th>
<th>Issue/Finding of the Court</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARIZONA V. CALIFORNIA, 283 U. S. 423 (1931)</td>
<td>No.19, original</td>
<td>The U.S. has the power to construct a dam across a navigable river without the approval of the state the river is in, state statutes notwithstanding. The Boulder Canyon Act is Constitutional according to the terms of the Colorado River Compact.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIZONA V. CALIFORNIA, 292 U. S. 341 (1934)</td>
<td>No. __, original</td>
<td>Arizona asked the court to entertain a bill to perpetuate testimony to aid future litigation within its original jurisdiction, since there must be current litigation to allow for depositions or testimony to be gathered. Argued that the Colorado River Compact was illegal.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIZONA V. CALIFORNIA, 298 U. S. 558 (1936)</td>
<td>No. __, original</td>
<td>The U.S. controlled the unappropriated water of the Colorado. Arizona's suit against the other compact states would need to include the U.S., which they declined, so the petition was denied. Plaintiff wanted the amount of water for AZ specified and amount for CA reduced.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIZONA V. CALIFORNIA, (1952-1957)</td>
<td>No. 10, Original</td>
<td>Arizona called for &quot;equitable apportionment.&quot; They wanted the Court to throw out applicable law and appropriations and allow the Secretary of the Interior to be the sole arbiter of water rights. Arizona abandoned this approach in 1957 filed an amended pleading in 1958. The No. 10 docket number discontinued when Arizona pursued a different issue.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIZONA V. CALIFORNIA, 373 U. S. 546 (1963)</td>
<td>No. 8, Original</td>
<td>Apportionment of the waters of the Colorado River. AZ and CA each get half the surplus. Lower Basin Apportionment not equitable or according to Compact. Each state reserves rights to their tributaries. Secretary of the Interior has right to manage the water as he sees fit. Reservations include water to irrigate. All uses must be charged to apportion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARIZONA V. CALIFORNIA, 376 U. S. 340 (1964)</td>
<td>No. 9, Original</td>
<td>This spells out in further detail 373 U.S. 546, except that it includes the amount of water each riparian Indian reservation gets along with their date of priority. It has a separate docket number because the tribes were not indispensible parties to the lawsuit.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona v. California, 383 U.S. 268 (1966)</td>
<td>No. 8, Original</td>
<td>State Apportionment. Within the date of decree, AZ, CA, and NV shall provide a list of present perfected rights with priority date. If the states and Sec of Interior cannot solve it from there, any party can ask the Court to.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona v. California, 439 U.S. 419 (1979)</td>
<td>No. 8, Original</td>
<td>State Apportionment. The states had agreed to their present perfected rights and priority date.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona v. California, 460 U.S. 605 (1983)</td>
<td>No. 8, Original</td>
<td>State Apportionment. This time, the tribes were indispensible parties, whereas before the U.S. argued their interests. They wanted their water rights increase for omitted and boundary lands previously unrecognized. They could play, but the answer was no.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arizona v. California, 466 U.S. 144 (1984)</td>
<td>No. 8, Original</td>
<td>The apportionment of Arizona and two tribes was adjusted. The reason that this is not docket No. 9, besides the fact that</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
the Court considered the issue closed in 1964, is that the tribes were now considered indispensable parties in the ongoing litigation of No. 8, Original.


In the files, the documents have been arranged by docket number. The normal format for a Supreme Court docket number is “term year-number” (e.g., 11-893). In the case of an original jurisdiction suit, the Court assigns a number that is not currently in use. This can become confusing because docket numbers are not sequential and are recycled once the case is decided. Even more confusing is that separate issues in a case can receive different docket numbers, as is the case in Nos. 8 and 9. The reason that the cases in 1934 and 1936 have no number is that the court did not hear the case, so they did not need a docket number to track documents.

The last series is comprised of reference documents used not only to support ongoing litigation and CRC operation, but also served as an information clearinghouse for information regarding the management of the Colorado River. The subseries’ include records pertaining to Basic Magnesium Inc., other dams on the Colorado River, water salinity, international agreements with Mexico, the Southern Nevada Water Authority, river related legislation and litigation, and more. An important component of this series are the documents pertaining to the Committee of Fourteen and Committee of Sixteen. The Committee of Fourteen consisted of two representatives from each of the seven states of the Colorado River Basin. The Committee of Sixteen added two representatives of the Hoover Dam power contractors. The committees met regularly from 1938 to 1945 and dealt with problems and disputes between the signers of the Colorado River Compact. This final series also includes a nine CD-R files containing over 60,000 scanned pages of documents and their OCR output from a 1996 effort to digitize documents for the use of the Commission.
Arrangement of the Records

Series 1. Colorado River Commission of Nevada Files
   Subseries 1.1 Historical Documents
   Subseries 1.2 Working Files
   Subseries 1.3 CRC Budget
   Subseries 1.4 Power
      Subsubseries 1.4.1 Hoover Dam Power
      Subsubseries 1.4.2 Federal Reclamation Hydroelectric Powerplants Authorization Act
      Subsubseries 1.4.3 Western Area Power Administration
      Subsubseries 1.4.4 Nevada Power Company/Sierra Pacific Power Company Merger
   Subseries 1.5 Colorado River Water
   Subseries 1.6 Colorado River Commission General Files
   Subseries 1.7 Gerald L. Edwards Files

Series 2. Arizona v. California
   Subseries 2.1 No. 19, Original
   Subseries 2.2 No. 10, Original
   Subseries 2.3 No. 8, Original

Series 3. Reference
   Subseries 2.1 General Reference
   Subseries 2.2 Basic Magnesium Inc.
   Subseries 2.3 Colorado River Dam
   Subseries 2.4 Colorado River Salinity
   Subseries 2.5 Nevada Water Summit
   Subseries 2.6 Southern Nevada Water Authority
   Subseries 2.7 Litigation
   Subseries 2.8 Legislation
      Subsubseries 2.9.1 General
      Subsubseries 2.9.2 Colorado River Compact
      Subsubseries 2.9.3 Boulder Canyon Project
      Subsubseries 2.9.4 Colorado River Basin Project
   Subseries 2.9 Law Library
   Subseries 2.10 Digital Files

Separation Note

A large number of items have been separated from the collection. The bulk of the items separated relate to Arizona v. California. Those items are separated into four different groups. The first is a numbered group of briefs, motions, memorandum, motions, etc., arranged in chronological order. The second group is also briefs, motions, memorandum, motions, etc., separated by docket number. There is some overlap between the two groups, but each has a significant number of unique items. Third, records of the Upper Colorado River Commission. The last group is comprised of books and reports not directly related to Arizona v. California used for reference works. Separated materials are listed beginning on p. 30 of this guide. Please note when requesting that these materials are housed on the shelves next to the collection boxes.
Related Collections

The following collections in Special Collections, UNLV Libraries, contain related materials:

- MS 90-48, Robert B. Griffith Collection.
- MS T64, A. J. Shaver.
Subject Headings

The following terms have been used to index the description of this collection in the library's online public access catalog.

Colorado River Commission of Nevada -- Archives
Colorado River (Colo.-Mexico)
Water -- Law and legislation -- Nevada -- History
Water -- Law and legislation -- Arizona -- History
Water rights -- Nevada -- History
Water rights -- Arizona -- History
Electric power distribution -- Nevada -- History
Electric power distribution -- Arizona -- History
Hoover Dam (Ariz. and Nev.) -- History
### Inventory

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Box</th>
<th>Folder</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Series 1. Colorado River Commission of Nevada Files</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subseries 1.1 – Historical Files</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 1 1920s - Letter to Key Pittman  
2 1930s  
3 1940s  
4 1950-1955  
5 1956-1957  
6 1958  
7 1959  
8 1960-1965  
9 1965-1970  
10 1970s  
11 1980s  
12 Act Creating the CRC of Nevada 3/20/35  
13 Various Acts, Agreements, Resolutions  
14 Salinity  
15 Supreme Court Documents  
16 Senator George Malone  
17 Supreme Court Reports AZ v. CA  
18 Nevada Exhibits  
19 Colorado River Intervention Tables  
20 Basic Magnesium Inc. Documents  
21 Misc. Historical Documents  
22 Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Act  

**Subseries 1.2 – Working Files**  

23 Working Files 10 Boulder Canyon Project 10.1 E&OC Meetings  
24 Working Files 10 Boulder Canyon Project 10.2 Hoover Power Plant Inspection 4-20-94  

2 1 Working Files 10 Boulder Canyon Project 10.3 Fish Habitat  
2 Working Files 20 Contracts 20.1 Economy Energy  
3 Working Files 20 Contracts 20.2 Retail Transmission Service  
4 Working Files 20 Contracts 20.3 Dreyfus  
5 Working Files 30 Parker - Davis Project 30.1 Western 10 Year Plan  
6 Working Files 30 Parker - Davis Project 30.2 Site Inspections  
7 Working Files 40 PMA 40.1 Legislation  
8 Working Files 40 PMA 40.2 Refinancing  
9 Working Files 40 PMA 40.3 PSC Comments on Wheeling  
10 Working Files 50 Power Customer Meetings  
11 Working Files 60 Water Department Briefing  
12 Working Files 70 Miscellaneous
### Box

**Subseries 1.3 - Budget**

- 213 CRC Colorado River Water Budget October 1983 Executive Summary
- 14 State of Nevada Colorado River Water Budget October, 1983

**Subseries 1.4 – Power – Subsubseries 1.4.1 – Hoover Dam Power**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subseries 1.4 – Power – Subsubseries 1.4.2 – Federal Reclamation Hydroelectric Powerplants Authorization Act**

<p>| | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>


16
Documents - April 1984
Documents - May 1984
Documents - June 1984
Documents - July 1984
Documents - August 1984

Subseries 1.4 – Power – Subsubseries 1.4.3 - Western Area Power Administration
7 Documents Regarding Western Area Power Administration 1947-1979
8 Documents Regarding Western Area Power Administration January-June 1980
9 Documents Regarding Western Area Power Administration July-December 1980
10 Documents Regarding Western Area Power Administration - Legal Memorandum - 1980
11 Documents Regarding Western Area Power Administration 1981
12 Documents Regarding Western Area Power Administration 1982
13 Documents Regarding Western Area Power Administration 1983-1984
14 Documents Regarding Western Area Power Administration January-September 1985
15 Documents Regarding Western Area Power Administration October-December 1985

5 1 Documents Regarding Western Area Power Administration 1986
2 Documents Regarding Western Area Power Administration 1987
3 Western Plan Renewal Documents
4 Western Tasks
5 Western 10 Year Plan
6 Documents Regarding Western Area Power Administration "Package"

Subseries 1.4 – Power – Subsubseries 1.4.4 - Nevada Power Company/Sierra Pacific Power Company Merger
7 NPC/SPPC Merger Credit Suisse First Boston Documents, 6 Aug 1997-19 Aug 1997
8 NPC/SPPC Merger Credit Suisse First Boston Documents, 11 Sep 1997-14 Nov 1997
9 NPC/SPPC Merger Credit Suisse First Boston Documents, 20 Nov 1997-5 Dec 1997
10 NPC/SPPC Merger Credit Suisse First Boston Documents, Feb 1998 (1)
11 NPC/SPPC Merger Credit Suisse First Boston Documents, Feb 1998 (2)
12 NPC/SPPC Merger Credit Suisse First Boston Documents, Feb 1998 (3)
15 NPC/SPPC Merger Credit Suisse First Boston Documents, 10 Feb 1998
16 NPC/SPPC Merger Credit Suisse First Boston Documents, 19 May 1998-11 June 1998
Subseries 1.5 – Colorado River Water

5  Colorado River Pacific Southwest Interagency Committee Colorado River Exchange w/Nevada
6  Colorado River Pacific Southwest Interagency Committee Augmentation Task Committee Memos & Reports (1984-85)
7  Colorado River Pacific Southwest Interagency Committee
8  Colorado River Water
9  Brochures, Pamphlets on Colorado River Water
10 Documents Regarding Water Delivery Contracts
11 Documents Relating to Reformulating Upper Colorado Basin's Water Delivery Obligations
12 Documents Regarding Purchase or Lease of Water Rights from the Upper Colorado River Basin
13 Shortages on the Colorado River - Background
14 Colorado River Forecasting
15 Management/Public Involvement Plan Review of Long Range Operating Criteria for the Colorado River Reservoirs
16 Tribal Leasing of Colorado River Waters: Federal Policies and Regional Realities
17 Review of Criteria for Coordinated Long-Range Operation of Colorado River Reservoirs
19 Notice of Public Meeting Regarding Long-Range Operation of Colorado River Reservoirs
20 Colorado River Shortages Without River Augmentation Staff Report

Subseries 1.6 – Colorado River Commission General Files

21 Report to the CRC of Nevada on Boulder Dam Power Conditions 24 February 1937
22 CRC of Nevada Meeting Minutes 1950
23 CRC of Nevada Meeting Minutes 1947-1952
24 CRC Meeting Briefing 28 June 1984
25 Minutes of CRC Meeting 4 September 1986 Re: Preconstruction Conference
26 CRC Meeting Agenda Items
27 Plan of Second Floor of Las Vegas State Office Building
28 Colorado River Operating Criteria, Lake Mead-Lake Powell 1969-Nov 1971
Colorado River Operations, Water-C-33, Feb 4-March 9, 1992
Colorado River Operations, Water-C-34, March 9, 1992-April 3, 1992

Colorado River Operations, Water-C-35, April 6, 1992-April 28, 1992
Colorado River Commission Indexes for Rolls 16-20/1721
Colorado River Commission Indexes for Rolls 20/1722-23/342
Colorado River Commission Indexes for Rolls 23/343-24
Commission Briefing
Faxes
CRC Correspondence
Correspondence From Burns & McDonnell
Correspondence From SNWA
Correspondence to SNWA
Correspondence From WAPA
Correspondence to WAPA
Report of the CRC of Nevada to Governor Richard Kirman

Subseries 1.7 – Gerald L. Edwards Files
GLE Files Index
GLE Files: (BMI) Henderson Contract
GLE Files: (BMI) Water Delivery Contract
GLE Files: (BMI) Water Use
GLE Files: (CC) "208" Plan
GLE Files: (CC) Water Resource Strategy
GLE Files: (CCSD) Effluent Reuse Applications
GLE Files: (CCSD) Effluent Reuse Resolution
GLE Files: (CCSD) Effluent Reuse Studies
GLE Files: (CCSD) Laughlin Planning
GLE Files: (CCSD) 1990 Sewage System Update
GLE Files: (CCSD) Technical Organization
GLE Files: (CCSD) Wastewater Flows
GLE Files: (CE) Calculations
GLE Files: (CE) Payroll
GLE Files: (CE) Draft Correspondence
GLE Files: (CE) Travel Information
GLE Files: (CE) Negotiation
GLE Files: (CRBSCF) Annual Report
GLE Files: (CRBSCF) Advisory Council Report
GLE Files: (CRBSCF) Inspector General Report
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>GLE Files: (CRBSCF) Moapa Project</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRBSCF) Water Quality Standards for Salinity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRBSCF) Salinity Cost Calculations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) AGO's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Colorado River Water Budget</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Contract Amendment/Proposed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Cooperative Agreements/Negotiations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Graphs/Charts/Figures</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Historical Water Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Hydropower Marketing Criteria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Las Vegas Wash Information/General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) LGS/LGS-WP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Permit #29814</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Projections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Small Diverter Contracts/Status</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) SNWS Water Delivery Contracts/Correspondence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) SOP's</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Spatial Modifications/C, B&amp;V Agmt.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Spatial Modifications/C, B&amp;V Agmt./Negotiations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Spatial Modifications/Correspondence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Spatial Modifications/Funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Spatial Modifications/LIM System</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Spatial Modifications/Pre-Construction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Special Projects/Short Term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Staff Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Speeches</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Staff Studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Staff Studies - Short Term</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Statement of Objectives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Stage Three</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Task Assignment Schedule</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Water Budget/General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Water Budget/1989</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Water Conservation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Water Demand Curve Fitting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Water Marketing/Transfers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Water Projections</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Water Resource Management/Las Vegas Valley</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Water Resource Task Force/Possible T.O.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Water Resources Information/General</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Water Transfers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (CRC) Water Use</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: (SE) Water Appropriations/LVW/General Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: Unlabeled Folder - Central Arizona Project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>GLE Files: Unlabeled Folder - Colorado River Diverted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Series 2. Arizona v. California

Subseries 2.1 – No. 19, Original
10 1 Pittman Documents Regarding the Boulder Canyon Project Act Used by CRC in AZ v. CA Lawsuit

Subseries 2.2 – No. 10, Original
2  New Mexico Exhibits Nos. 701-852
3  AZ v. CA Opening Statement on Behalf of the Intervening State of Nevada
4  Report of AZ v. CA (No. 10 Original) to the Colorado River Commission of Nevada
5  AZ v. CA No. 10 Original. Answer of the State of Nevada to Complaint and Answer in Intervention by the State of Utah

Subseries 2.3 – No. 8, Original
6  Arizona-California Water Suit Work Sheets & Miscellaneous Documents
7  Arizona-California Water Suit 1948-1955 I
8  Arizona-California Water Suit 1956-1957 II
10  Arizona-California Water Suit 1959-1963 IV
11  Arizona-California Water Suit Supreme Court Opinion June 3, 1963 (Decree 3-9-64)
13  VI Arizona-California Water Suit 1968-

5  XIV Arizona/California Water Suit (Incl. Present Perfected Rights) December 1982 -
11 6  XV Arizona/California Water Suit (Incl. Present Perfected Rights) Motions, Briefs, Statements, and Responses
7  Correspondence Regarding Special Master Report Colorado River Litigation
8  Analysis of California Opening Brief 10 July 1961
9  AZ v. CA Chronology of Decree
10 AZ v. CA Related Correspondence 1965
11 Brief to James Lavelle from Duncan Weinberg & Miller w/Exhibits RE: Colorado River Basin Act of 1968 Legislative History
12 Indian Affairs Memo Regarding AZ v. CA Stipulation
14 AZ v. CA No. 8, Original - State Parties' Post-Trial Opening Brief Vol. 1, October 1979
15 AZ v. CA No. 8, Original - State Parties' Post-Trial Opening Brief Vol. 2, October 1979
16 Pretrial Statement: State Parties, The United States, and Indian Tribes. 19 June 1980
17 Pittman Documents Regarding the Boulder Canyon Project Act Used by CRC in AZ v. CA Lawsuit w/Detailed Memo Dated 11 November 1981
18 AZ v. CA 460 U.S. 605 (1983) State Parties Exhibits 1-21
19
20
21
2  AZ v. CA 460 U.S. 605 (1983) California Exhibits 361-3544 and Transcript
3  AZ v. CA 460 U.S. 605 (1983) State Parties Exhibits 22-46
4  AZ v. CA No. 8 Original - Legal Docs. Regarding Colorado River Indian Tribes - Misc.
5  AZ v. CA - Motions, Briefs, and Memoranda
6  AZ v. CA No. 8 Original - Legal Docs. Regarding Colorado River Indian Tribes - 1989
7  AZ v. CA No. 8 Original - Legal Docs. Regarding Colorado River Indian Tribes - 1990
8  AZ v. CA No. 8 Original - Legal Docs. Regarding Colorado River Indian Tribes - 1991
9  AZ v. CA No. 8 Original - Legal Docs. Regarding Colorado River Indian Tribes - 1992
10 AZ v. CA No. 8 Original - Legal Docs. Regarding Colorado River Indian Tribes - 1993 (1)
11 AZ v. CA No. 8 Original - Legal Docs. Regarding Colorado River Indian Tribes - 1993 (2)
12 AZ v. CA No. 8 Original - Legal Docs. Regarding Colorado River Indian Tribes - 1993 (3)
13 AZ v. CA No. 8 Original - Legal Docs. Regarding Colorado River Indian Tribes - 1994 (1)
14 AZ v. CA No. 8 Original - Legal Docs. Regarding Colorado River Indian Tribes - 1994 (2)
15 AZ v. CA Documents 1987-90
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No.</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Request for Decree Accounting Reports</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>AZ v. CA No. 8 Original. States Exhibits 22-46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Correspondence &amp; Documents Regarding AZ v. CA No. 8, Original Apr 1991-Apr 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>Correspondence &amp; Documents Regarding AZ v. CA No. 8, Original Apr 1995-July 1995</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Correspondence &amp; Documents Regarding AZ v. CA No. 8, Original Oct 1995-Oct 1998</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>CRC Inventory of AZ v. CA Documents</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21</td>
<td>AZ v. CA - Joint Motion to Approve Stipulation and Agreement, 1999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Legal Documents Pertaining to Colorado River Indian Reservation. AZ v. CA (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Legal Documents Pertaining to Colorado River Indian Reservation. AZ v. CA (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Legal Documents Pertaining to Colorado River Indian Reservation. AZ v. CA (3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Water - Correspondence 13 Arizona vs. California</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Series 3. Reference</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><strong>Subseries 3.1 – General Reference</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>5 House and Senate Bills Creating the Federal Power Commission 1919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Water Rights in California Prior to 1929</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Drainage Basin Committees Report for the Colorado Basin, December 1937</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Amount Available for Separate Fund 15 December 1938</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Maps to Accompany U.S.B.R. Report to Committee of 14 and 16, Denver, Colorado, November 10-11, 1944</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Hoover Dam Pamphlet circa 1949</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Report on San Diego Project, Metropolitan Connection Enlargement Preliminary Field Draft January 1950</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Upper Colorado River Commission Seventh Annual Report April 1, 1956</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Bridge Canyon Dam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Citizens Utilities Co. v. U.S. Documents with Index</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>Contract Between the U. S. and Nevada for Delivery of Water and Repayment of Project Works</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Meeting of Federal and State Representatives for Review of Basic Data Pertinent to the Preparation of Operating Criteria For the Colorado River Pursuant to Section 602 of Public Law 90-537</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>AZ and NV Boundary Title Dispute</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>Final Report on Interrelationships Between Chemical, Physical and Biological Conditions of the Waters of Las Vegas Bay of Lake Mead</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22</td>
<td>ca. 1983-84 Clippings on Inflation, Consumer Price Index with Bureau of Reclamation Calculations re: Pricing of Water Work Components</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>Correspondence Re: Arizona Power Authority</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>Documents Regarding the Department of the Interior</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>Federal Interest and Discount Rates for FY 1983 and 1984</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>Streamflow Forecasting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>Department of the Army Permit for Riprap Dam in the Las Vegas Wash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>Sale of Central Valley Power to Nevada</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| 14 | 1 | Indian Water Rights |
| 2 | U. S. Department of the Interior Water Policy |
| 3 | The Law of Hazardous Waste Volume 3 |
| 4 | Utah State Water Plan |
| 5 | Endangered Species |
| 6 | Colorado River Basin States |
| 7 | Lower Colorado River Refuges Planning and Restoration of Backwaters |
| 8 | Las Vegas Paiute Tribe's Water Claims Briefing Paper |
| 9 | Seven States Caucus/Colorado River Tribes Caucus Conference 19 July 1994 |
| 10 | Contracts |
| 12 | State of Nevada's Comments on the Final Environmental Impact Statement of the Nevada Test Site |
| 13 | Colorado River - Long Range Operating Criteria |

**Subseries 3.2 – Basic Magnesium Inc.**

| 14 | 14 | Water for Basic Magnesium Inc. |
| 15 | Proposals for Basic Step-Down Yard Project |
| 16 | Basic Step-Down Yard Project 10 BMI 10.1 Organization |
| 17 | Basic Step-Down Yard Project 10 BMI 10.2 Electrical Renovation |
| 18 | Basic Step-Down Yard Project 20 Bonds 20.1 Selection of Independent Engineer |
| 19 | Basic Step-Down Yard Project 20 Bonds 20.3 Official Statement |
| 20 | Basic Step-Down Yard Project 20 Bonds 20.4 Financing |
| 21 | Basic Step-Down Yard Project 20 Bonds 20.5 Amount Required |
| 22 | Basic Step-Down Yard Project 30 Burns and McDonnell (B&M) 30.1 Substation Alternatives |
| 23 | Basic Step-Down Yard Project 40 Contracts 40.1 Transmission Service |
| 24 | Basic Step-Down Yard Project 40 Contracts 40.2 Burns and McDonnell |
| 25 | Basic Step-Down Yard Project 50 Metering 50.1 Operational Agreement |

<p>| 15 | 1 | Basic Step-Down Yard Project 60 Operations 60.1 Operating Procedures |
| 2 | Basic Step-Down Yard Project 70 Studies 70.1 Basic/Gibson Integration |
| 3 | Basic Step-Down Yard Project 70 Studies 70.2 Substation Modification Study (1) |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Basic Step-Down Yard Project 70 Studies 70.2 Substation Modification Study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Basic Step-Down Yard Project 80 Western 80.1 230 kV Yard Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Basic Step-Down Yard Project 80 Western 80.2 230 kV Station Service Supply</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subseries 3.3 - Dams**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Supporting Data Glen Canyon-Lake Mead Annual Reservoir Operation Studies,</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Colorado River Board of California, December 1957</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Filling of Glen Canyon Reservoir (1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Filling of Glen Canyon Reservoir (2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Glen Canyon Dam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Glen Canyon Dam Documents Including Draft Environmental Impact Statement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sept-Oct 1991</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Parker Davis Rate Committee</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subseries 3.4 - Salinity**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Reservation to the Water Utilization Treaty with Mexico 10 April 1945</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>S. 693 80th Congress 1st Session A Bill Defining the Powers and Duties of</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>the Sec. of the Interior with Respect to the Treaty with Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Mexican Treaty Studies (water deliveries to Mexico)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>I Mexican Salinity - Committee of 14 February 1963 - December 1967</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>II Mexican Salinity - Committee of 14 January 1968 - December 1971 Inactive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>III Mexican Salinity - Committee of 14 January 1972-September 1972 Inactive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>IV Mexican Salinity - Committee of 14 October-December 1972</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>V Mexican Salinity - Committee of 14 January - August 1973</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>VI Mexican Salinity - Committee of 14 September - December 1973 Inactive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>VII Mexican Salinity - Committee of 14 January - March 30, 1974</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Documents Regarding Salinity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Mexican Salinity - Committee of 14 Work File</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Mexican Salinity - Committee of 14 Colorado River Salinity Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Legislation (H.R. 12165 &amp; S. 2940)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Notice of Intention of San Diego Gas &amp; Electric Co. to File an Application</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>for Certification of the Sundeset Nuclear Plant...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Colorado River Basin Salinity Control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Documents Relating to the Colorado River Basin Salinity Control Act 1983</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Salinity Control Act H.R. 2790</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>Documents Regarding Colorado River Salinity</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>Documents Regarding the Improvement of the Salinity and Consistency of the</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Flow Delivered to Mexico</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Subseries 3.5 – Nevada Water Summit**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>Southern Nevada Water Summit Water Category Analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Southern Nevada Water Summit Water Category Analysis Appendix B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>The Dominguez Water &amp; Hydropower Project Documents by Western States</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Water & Power

| 17 | 4 | Response to Request for Proposal for Delivery of Water to S. Nev, |
| 17 | 5 | List of Presentations Given at Nevada Water Summit November 4-5, 1993 |
| 17 | 6 | Briefing Papers for Water Summit Proposals |
| 17 | 7 | National Geographic Special Edition - Water: The Power, Promise… |
| 17 | 8 | Nevada Water Summit Presentation by Walt Casey 11/4/93 |
| 17 | 9 | Winecup-Gamble/Thousand Springs Project Proposal |
| 17 | 10 | Henderson Chamber of Commerce for Water from the Columbia River |
| 17 | 11 | Double O Ranch Water Delivery Proposal |
| 17 | 12 | Arizona Department of Water Resources Proposal for Water Delivery |
| 17 | 13 | Corollo, Black and Veach Agua Nueva Water Delivery Proposal |
| 17 | 14 | Southern Utah Wilderness Alliance Water Delivery Proposal |
| 17 | 15 | Alaska Water Exports Proposal |
| 17 | 16 | ECR Consulting Water Delivery Proposal |
| 17 | 17 | Consolidated/Geare Water Delivery Proposal |
| 17 | 18 | Nevada Water Summit Reporter's Transcript of Proceedings |
| 17 | 19 | Water Projects - C#1 Nevada Water Summit 1993-Jan 1994 |
| 17 | 20 | Water Projects - C#2 Nevada Water Summit February 1994-April 1994 |
| 17 | 21 | Water Projects - C#3 Nevada Water Summit May 1994- |
| 17 | 22 | Northwest-Southwest Water Diversion Plans and Issues |
| 17 | 23 | Nevada Water Summit Day 1 (1) |
| 17 | 24 | Nevada Water Summit Day 2 (2) |
| 17 | 25 | Nevada Water Summit Book 2 (1) |
| 18 | 1 | Nevada Water Summit Book 2 (2) |

### Subseries 3.6 – Southern Nevada Water Authority

| 2 | Map of Alfred Merritt Smith Water Treatment Facility |
| 3 | Draft Environmental Assessment for a Refinement of the Power Delivery |
| 3 | Component of the Southern Nevada Water Authority Treatment and |
| 3 | Transmission Facility |
| 4 | Documents Regarding Southern Nevada Water Project |
| 5 | DI/BR - Amendatory & Supplementary Contract Between the United States |
| 5 | and the State of Nevada for Delivery of Water & Construction Project Works |
| 5 | (1977) |
| 6 | Contracts - Water Treatment Plant |
| 7 | Water - Organizations & Associations, SNWS Engineering Committee Nov |
| 7 | 1976- (6) |
| 8 | Water - Organizations & Associations, SNWS Engineering Committee 1974- |
| 8 | 1976 (7) |

### Subseries 3.7 – Litigation

| 9 | Letter Regarding Discovery in U.S. v. NV |
| 10 | Brief in Support of Petition of Santa Clara for Declaratory Order 14 August |
| 10 | 1978 |
| 11 | Initial Brief of Commission Staff Counsel Docket No.EL78-43 July 27,1979 |
| 12 | Initial Brief of Hydroelectric Company Group Docket No.EL78-43 July 27, |
18  13  Reply Brief of Hydroelectric Company Group Docket No.EL78-43 October 10, 1979
14  Reply Brief of Commission Staff Counsel Docket No.EL78-43 October 13, 1979
15  Opinion and Order Declaring Municipal Preferences Applicable to Hydro-
    Electric Relicensings No.EL78-43 June 27, 1980
16  Petitions for Rehearing Opinion No. 88. No.EL78-43 July 25, 1980
17  Appendix of Exhibits to Application for Rehearing of Pacific Gas and Electric
    Company Docket No.EL78-43 July 28,1980
18  Application for Rehearing of Pacific Gas and Electric Company No.EL78-43
    July 28, 1980
19  Application of Hydroelectric Utility Company Group for Rehearing No.EL78-
    43 July 28, 1980
20  Wisconsin Power and Light Company's Petition for Rehearing Docket
    No.EL78-43 July 29, 1980

Subseries 3.8 – Legislation – Subsubseries 3.8.1 – General
21  H. R. 6732 30 August 1935
22  H.R. 7365 A Bill to Provide for the Regional Conservation and Development
    of the Natural Resources, and for Other Purposes 3 June 1937
23  H.R. 6629 A Bill for the Adjustment of Rates and Charges at Boulder Dam…
    2 June 1939
25  S. 2759 A Bill to Amend the Boulder Canyon Project Adjustment Act August
    2, 1955
26  S. 1892 An Act to Authorize the Norman Federal Reclamation Project
27  Reclamation Project Act of 1939 - Text of Bill
28  AZ Senate Bill No. 3 1945 Relating to Ground Water
29  Boulder City Act of 1958
30  House and Senate Bills Relating to the Colorado River

Subseries 3.8 – Legislation – Subsubseries 3.8.2 – Colorado River Compact
31  Colorado River Compact - Text of Bill
32  Colorado River Compact Commission Minutes of Meeting No. 1-18
33  Colorado River Compact Commission Minutes of Meeting No. 19
34  Colorado River Compact Commission Minutes of Meeting No. 20
35  Colorado River Compact Commission Minutes of Meeting No. 21
36  Colorado River Compact Commission Minutes of Meeting No. 22
37  Colorado River Compact Commission Minutes of Meeting No. 23
38  Colorado River Compact Commission Minutes of Meeting No. 24
39  Colorado River Compact Commission Minutes of Meeting No. 25
40  Colorado River Compact Commission Minutes of Meeting No. 26
41  Colorado River Compact Commission Minutes of Meeting No. 27
42  Colorado River Compact 1922 Storage of Water in the Upper Basin 9/6/57
Subseries 3.8 – Legislation – Subsubseries 3.8.3 – Boulder Canyon Project

1. Colorado River Basin Committee of 16 and 14 - U.S. - Mexico 1929-1930
2. Colorado River Basin Committee of 16 and 14 - U.S. - Mexico 1938-1945
3. Minutes of the Upper Colorado River Compact Negotiations - I
4. Minutes of the Upper Colorado River Compact Negotiations - II
5. Appendix 1 Boulder Canyon Project Act
7. Outline of Boulder Dam Legislation
8. Substitute Draft of H.R. 6629 A Bill for the Adjustment of Rates and Charges at Boulder Dam… 26 July 1939
9. Documents Regarding Boulder Canyon Project 1929-1941
10. Contract for Sale of Energy Under the Boulder Canyon Project
11. Western Area Power Administration Documents on Boulder Canyon Project #90-112
12. Western Area Power Administration Documents on Boulder Canyon Project #113

Subseries 3.8 – Legislation – Subsubseries 3.8.4 – Colorado River Basin Project

15. H.R. 4671 - Lower Colorado River Basin Commission
16. Testimony before subcommittee, etc. Re: Colorado River Basin Legislation May 1966
17. House and Senate Bills Regarding the Colorado River Basin Project
18. Text of Colorado River Basin Project Act
19. Calendar No. 395 Report No. 408 Central Arizona Project
20. Detailed Comparisons of H.R. 3300 and S. 1004, The Colorado River Basin Project Act
21. Central Arizona Project Documents 1967-68
22. Colorado River Basin Project Documents
23. Legislative History of Colorado River Basin Project Act

Subseries 3.9 – Law and Law Library

2. Water Law Notes
3. Chapter 103 - Comprehensive Environmental Response, Compensation, and Liability Act (CERLA) of 1980
4. CRC Water Law Library Inventory California Materials Volume 2 (1)
5. CRC Water Law Library Inventory California Materials Volume 2 (2)
6. CRC Water Law Library Inventory California Materials Volume 2 (3)
7. CRC Water Law Library Inventory Nevada Materials Volume 3A (1)
8. CRC Water Law Library Inventory Nevada Materials Volume 3A (2)
20 9  CRC Water Law Library Inventory Nevada Materials Volume 3A (3)
10  CRC Water Law Library Inventory Nevada Materials Volume 3B (1)
11  CRC Water Law Library Inventory Nevada Materials Volume 3B (2)
12  CRC Water Law Library Inventory Utah Materials Volume 5 (1)
13  CRC Water Law Library Inventory Utah Materials Volume 5 (2)
14  CRC Water Law Library Inventory Utah Materials Volume 5 (3)
15  CRC Water Law Library Inventory Utah Materials Volume 5 (4)
16  CRC Water Law Library Inventory Utah Materials Volume 5 (5)

21 1  CRC Water Law Library Inventory Utah Materials Volume 5 (6)
2  CRC Water Law Library Inventory Utah Materials Volume 5 (7)
3  CRC Water Law Library Inventory Utah Materials Volume 5 (8)
4  CRC Water Law Library Inventory Denver Materials
5  CRC Water Law Library Inventory Nevada Materials (1)
6  CRC Water Law Library Inventory Nevada Materials (2)
7  CRC Water Law Library Inventory Nevada Materials (3)

Subseries 3.10 – Digital Files
8  Upper Colorado River Commission Library Inventory
9  Colorado River Board of California Library File
   CRC Scanned Files Disk No. 2
   CRC Scanned Files Disk No. 3
   CRC Scanned Files Disk No. 4
   CRC Scanned Files Disk No. 5
   CRC Scanned Files Disk No. 6
   CRC Scanned Files Disk No. 7
   CRC Scanned Files Disk No. 8
   CRC Scanned Files Disk No. 9
   CRC Scanned Files Disk No. 10
Separation Note

A large number of items have been separated from the collection. The bulk of the items separated relate to *Arizona v. California*. Those items are separated into four different groups. The first is a numbered group of briefs, motions, memorandum, motions, etc., arranged in chronological order. The second group is also briefs, motions, memorandum, motions, etc., separated by docket number. There is some overlap between the two groups, but each has a significant number of unique items. Third, records of the Upper Colorado River Commission. The last group is comprised of books and reports not directly related to *Arizona v. California* used for reference works. **Please note when requesting that these materials are housed on the shelves next to the collection boxes.**

Numbered Documents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Docket</th>
<th>Date</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70/1/1</td>
<td>Pleadings, Briefs, Orders and Letters, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1952) (No. 10 Original)</td>
<td>Arizona v. California</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>October 1952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70/1/2</td>
<td>Motion for Leave to File Bill of Complaint and Bill of Complaint, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1952) (No. 10 Original)</td>
<td>Arizona v. California</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>October 1952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70/1/3</td>
<td>Answer of Defendants to Bill of Complaint, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1952) (No. 10 Original)</td>
<td>Arizona v. California</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>October 1952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70/1/4</td>
<td>Appendixes to the Answer, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1952) (No. 10 Original)</td>
<td>Arizona v. California</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>October 1952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70/1/5</td>
<td>Motion of Colter Water Project Association, Incorporated, for Leave to File Brief Amicus Curiae, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1952) (No. 10 Original)</td>
<td>Arizona v. California</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>October 1952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70/1/6</td>
<td>Reply to Defendants’ Answer, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1952) (No. 10 Original)</td>
<td>Arizona v. California</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>October 1952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70/1/7</td>
<td>Rejoinder of Defendants to Complainant’s Reply to Defendants’ Answer, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1952) (No. 10 Original)</td>
<td>Arizona v. California</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>October 1952</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70/1/9</td>
<td>Motion on Behalf of the State of Nevada for Leave to Intervene and Petition of Intervention on Behalf of the State of Nevada, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1953) (No. 10 Original)</td>
<td>Arizona v. California</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>October 1953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70/1/10</td>
<td>Response of Complainant the State of Arizona to Motion of State of Nevada for Leave to Intervene, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1953) (No. 10 Original)</td>
<td>Arizona v. California</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>October 1953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70/1/12</td>
<td>Response of California Defendants to the Motion on Behalf of the State of Nevada for Leave to Intervene and Answer of California Defendants to Petition of Intervention on Behalf of the State of Nevada, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1953) (No. 10 Original)</td>
<td>Arizona v. California</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>October 1953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70/1/13</td>
<td>Answer of California Defendants to Petition of Intervention on Behalf of the United States of America and Summary of the Controversy (Exhibit A), Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1953) (No. 10 Original)</td>
<td>Arizona v. California</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>October 1953</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70/1/14</td>
<td>Memorandum Requesting Pre-Trial Conference, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1953) (No. 10 Original)</td>
<td>Arizona v. California</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>October 1953</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
70/1/16 Memorandum of the California Defendants In Reply to Memorandum of the United States Requesting a Pre-Trial Conference, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1953) (No. 10 Original).
70/1/17 Reply of the State of Nevada to Answer of California Defendants to Petition of Intervention on Behalf of the State of Nevada, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1953) (No. 10 Original).
70/1/18 Memorandum of Nevada in Reply to Memorandum Requesting Pre-Trial Conference by the United States of America, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1953) (No. 10 Original).
70/1/19 Answer of Complainant State of Arizona to Petition of Intervention on Behalf of the State of Nevada, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1953) (No. 10 Original).
70/1/20 Motion on Behalf of the California Defendants for Leave to File an Amended Answer to the Bill of Complaint of Arizona and Amendatory Answer, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1953) (No. 10 Original).
70/1/21 Motion to Join, as Parties, the States of Colorado, New Mexico, Utah and Wyoming, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1953) (No. 10 Original).
70/1/24 Response of Complainant State of Arizona to Defendants’ Motion to Join as Parties the States of Colorado, New Mexico, Utah, and Wyoming, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1954) (No. 10 Original).
70/1/27 Brief of the California Defendants in Support of Their Motion to Join, as Parties, the States of Colorado, New Mexico, Utah, and Wyoming, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1954) (No. 10 Original).
70/1/28 Brief of New Mexico Opposing Motion of California to Join as Parties the States of Colorado, New Mexico, Utah and Wyoming, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1954) (No. 10 Original).
70/1/29 Brief of the State of Utah Opposing the Motion of the California Defendants to Join the State of Utah as a Party to This Action, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1954) (No. 10 Original).
70/1/30 Brief of the State of Colorado and the State of Wyoming Opposing the Motion of the State of California to Join the States of Colorado and Wyoming as Parties to This Action, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1954) (No. 10 Original).
70/1/31 Brief of the State of Nevada, Intervener, IN RE Motion of California to Join as Parties the States of Colorado, New Mexico, Utah and Wyoming, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1954) (No. 10 Original).
70/1/32 Reply Brief of the California Defendants in Support of Their Motion to Join, as Parties, the States of Colorado, New Mexico, Utah, and Wyoming, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1954) (No. 10 Original).
70/1/33 Special Master’s Report on the Motion of the California Defendants to Join as Parties the States of New Mexico, Utah, Colorado and Wyoming, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1954) (No. 10 Original).
70/1/34 Exceptions of Intervening State of Nevada to the Report and Recommendations of the Special Master Concerning California’s Motion to Join Colorado, New Mexico, Utah and Wyoming, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).
70/1/35 Exceptions of the California Defendants to the Report and Recommendations of the Special Master With Respect to Their Motion to Join the States of Colorado, New Mexico, Utah and Wyoming and Brief in Support of Exceptions, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).
70/1/37 Memorandum of the California Defendants in Reply to Motion of the United States for Determination of Questions of Law Presented by the Pleadings in This Cause and the Report of the Special Master, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).
70/1/39 Memorandum of Arizona (a) in Reply to Motion of the United States for Preliminary Determination of Questions of Law; and (b) in Reply to California’s and Nevada’s Exceptions to the Master’s Report on the Question of Joinder of Four States, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).
70/1/41 Petition for Rehearing of Decision Denying Motion to Join the States of Colorado, New Mexico, Utah and Wyoming, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).
70/1/42 Recommendations of the California Defendants Regarding Part IV of the Proposed Pre-Trial Order, IV. Procedure, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).
70/1/43 Answer of California Defendants to Complaint and Answer in Intervention by the State of Utah and Interrogatories Addressed to the State of Utah by the California Defendants, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).
70/1/44 Interrogatories Addressed to the Intervener, The United States of America, by the California Defendants Set No. 1, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).
70/1/49 Appearance and Statement in Behalf of New Mexico of Its Claim of Interest in and to Lower Basin Waters, Arizona V. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).

70/1/51 Objections to Interrogatories, Partial Answers, Request for Additional Time to Answer and Notice of Hearing, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).


70/1/53 Answer of the State of Nevada to Complaint and Answer in Intervention by the State of Utah, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).

70/1/54 Interrogatories Addressed to the Intervener, The State of Nevada, by the California Defendants, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).

70/1/55 Answers to Interrogatories Propounded to the State of Nevada by the California Defendants, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).

70/1/56 Interrogatories Addressed to the Intervener, The United States of America, by the California Defendants Set No. 2, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).

70/1/57 Answer of California Defendants to Appearance and Statement in Behalf of New Mexico of Its Claim of Interest in and to Lower Basin Waters and Interrogatories Addressed to the State of New Mexico by the California Defendants, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).

70/1/58 Answers of the State of New Mexico to Interrogatories Addressed to the State of New Mexico By the California Defendants, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).

70/1/59 Amended Answers of the State of New Mexico to Interrogatories Propounded by the California Defendants, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1957) (No. 10 Original).

70/1/60 Answers of the State of Arizona to Interrogatories Addressed to the Complainant, the State of Arizona, by the California Defendants Set No. 1, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).

70/1/61 Response of State of Arizona to Appearance and Statement of New Mexico, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).


70/1/63 Answer of the State of Nevada to the Appearance and Statement in Behalf of New Mexico of its Claim of Interest in and to Lower Basin Waters, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).

70/1/64 Answers of the State of Arizona to Interrogatories Addressed to the Complainant, the State of Arizona, by the California Defendants Set No. 2, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).

70/1/65 Answers of the State of Utah to Interrogatories Addressed to the State of Utah by the California Defendants, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).

70/1/66 Brief of the California Defendants in Response to Objections by the United States to Interrogatories Sets Numbers 1 and 2 and Motion to Require Further Answers, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).


70/1/70 Statement of Position of New Mexico, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).


70/1/72 Pre-Trial Order, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).

70/1/73 Supplement No. 1 to Appendix II-A of the Pre-Trial Order Dated April 30, 1956, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1956) (No. 10 Original).

70/1/74 Supplement No. 4 to Appendix II-A of the Pre-Trial Order Dated April 30, 1956, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1956) (No. 10 Original).

70/1/75 Supplement No. 5 to Appendix II-A of the Pre-Trial Order Dated April 30, 1956, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1956) (No. 10 Original).

70/1/76 Memorandum of the California Defendants in Clarification of Interrogatories to the United States and Resubmitted Interrogatories, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).

70/1/77 Notice of Motion and Motion of the California Defendants to Require Further Answers of the State of New Mexico to Interrogatories and Brief of the California Defendants in Support Thereof, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).

70/1/78 Amended Answers of the State of Arizona to Interrogatories Addressed to the Complainant, the State of Arizona, by the California Defendants Sets No. 1 and No. 2 and Answers to Set No. 3, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/1 Tentative Outline of Witnesses for Arizona, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/2 Answers of the United States of America to Certain of the Interrogatories, Sets No. 1 and No. 2 Addressed to it by the California Defendants, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).


70/2/4 Interrogatories Addressed to the Complainant, The State of Arizona, By the California Defendants, Set No. 4, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).


70/2/7 Notice of Motion and Motion of the California Defendants for Production of Documents by the United States of America, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).


70/2/13 Further Answers of the United States of America to Certain of the Interrogatories, Sets No. 1 and No. 2 Addressed to it by the California Defendants, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).


70/2/16 Further Answers of the United States of America to Certain of the Interrogatories, Sets No. 1 and No. 2 Addressed to it by the California Defendants, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).


70/2/23 Evidence of the California Defendants’ Appropriative Rights is Relevant and Material to the Issues in Litigation, Submitted by the California Defendants, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1956) (No. 10 Original).


70/2/25 Motion for Order to Permit Inspection and Copying or Photographing of Documents and Records and Notice, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1955) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/26 Memorandum RE Admissibility of Recitals of Fact in Ancient Documents or Statements of Deceased Persons Submitted by the California Defendants, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1956) (No. 10 Original).


70/2/28 Appropriative Rights of the California Defendants are not Invalid by Reason of the Navigable Character of the Lower Colorado River Submitted by the California Defendants, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1956) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/29 The California Defendants are Not Precluded by Res Judicata From Litigating Their Right to Share in III(b) Water Submitted by the California Defendants, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1956) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/30 Tentative Outline of Witnesses for the Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, California List Number 2, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1956) (No. 10 Original).


70/2/34 Response of the United States of America to the Motion of the California Defendants for Production of Documents, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1956) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/35 Availability of Article III(b) Waters for Use in California: Legislative History of Section 4(a) of Boulder Canyon Project Act (Limitation Provision), Bills, Amendments, and Debates In Chronological Order 70th Cong., 1st & 2d Sess. (1927-1928) Submitted by the California Defendants, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1956) (No. 10 Original).


70/2/42 Further Answers of the United States of America to Certain of the Interrogatories, Sets No. 1 and No. 2 Addressed to it by the California Defendants, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1956) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/43 Notice of Motion and Motion By California Defendants for Order Directing Complainant to Produce and Permit Inspection and Copying and Records, Further Answers of the United States of America to Certain of the Interrogatories, Sets No. 1 and No. 2 Addressed to it by the California Defendants, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1956) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/44 Response of Complainant, State of Arizona, To Motion (July 1, 1957) By California Defendants for Order Directing Complainant to Produce and Permit Inspection and Copying or Photographing of Documents and Records, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1956) (No. 10 Original).


70/2/46 Memorandum on the Admissibility of Evidence Probative in Proving the Meaning of the Phrases “Beneficial Consumptive Use” and “Consumptive Use” Submitted by the California Defendants, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1956) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/48 Tentative Outline of Witnesses for the California Defendants, August 1957, Following
Conclusion of Testimony of Witnesses Listed in California List No. 3, California List No. 4,

70/2/49 Memorandum on Admissibility in Evidence of a Letter from the Acting Secretary of Interior
and Enclosed Regulations to Messrs. Stewart & Shaw, Counsel for Defendant, Palo Verde
Irrigation District, Dated July 31, 1930, Submitted by the California Defendants, Arizona v.
California (U.S. October Term 1956) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/50 Amended and Supplemental Answers of the United States of America to Certain of the
Interrogatories, Sets No. 1 and No. 2 Addressed to it by the California Defendants, Arizona v.
California (U.S. October Term 1956) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/51 Memorandum on the Decisive Application of the Compact and Project Act, Arizona v.
California (U.S. October Term 1956) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/52 Amended and Supplemental Statement of Position by Complainant, State of Arizona, Arizona
v. California (U.S. October Term 1956) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/53 Reporters’ Transcript, Volume 23: Volumes 71-73, Pages 11510-12071, August 6-8, 1957,

70/2/54 Reporters’ Transcript, Volume 24: Volumes 74-76, Pages 12072-12603, August 9, 12-13,

70/2/55 Notice of Motion and Motion of the California Defendants that the Special Master Fix a Time
Within Which the Complainant State of Arizona May File a Motion in the Supreme Court to
Amend Her Pleadings to Conform to Her Present Claims and Contentions, or, if Arizona Elects
not to Amend Her Pleadings, that the Special Master Recommended to the Court that Arizona’s
Suit be Dismissed with Prejudice, Memorandum in Support of Motion, Arizona v. California
(U.S. October Term 1956) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/56 Reporters’ Transcript, Volume 25: Volumes 77-79, Pages 12604-13144, August 14-16, 1957,

70/2/57 Reporters’ Transcript, Volume 26: Volumes 80-82, Pages 13145-13610, August 19-21, 1957,
Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1956) (No. 9 Original).

70/2/58 Uses by the United States for the Benefit of Indians are Chargeable Under the Colorado River
Compact as Uses by the Basin and State in Which Such Uses Take Place Submitted by the

70/2/59 Interrogatories Addressed to the Complainant the State of Arizona by the California
10 Original).

70/2/60 General Memorandum RE Salvage of Water in the Western States, Arizona v. California (U.S.
October Term 1956) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/61 General Memorandum RE Waste in the Diversion and Application of Water, Arizona v.
California (U.S. October Term 1956) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/62 Legislative History of Sections 4(a), 5 (1st Paragraph), and 8, Boulder Canyon Project Act,

70/2/63 Interrogatories Addressed to the Defendant Imperial Irrigation District by the Complainant the

70/2/64 Memorandum of the California Defendants in Answer to Arizona’s “Objections and Answers
to Interrogatories” Dated September 6, 1957 and Notice of Motion and Motion of the
California Defendants to Require Further Answers of the State of Arizona to Interrogatory M-2
and Memorandum in Support of Motion, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1957) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/65 Interrogatories Addressed to the Complainant the State of Arizona by the California Defendants Set No. 5 (October 23, 1957), Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1957) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/66 Objections and Answers to Interrogatories Addressed to the Defendant Imperial Irrigation District by the Complainant the State of Arizona and Notice of Hearing, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1957) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/67 Objections of the State of Arizona to Interrogatories by the California Defendants Set No. 5, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1957) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/68 Further Answers by Complainant, State of Arizona, California Interrogatories B-2, B-6, C-1 and C-2, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1957) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/69 Notice of Motion and Motion by California Defendants for Order Directing Intervener the United States of America to Produce and Permit Inspection and Copying or Photographing of Documents and Records and Memorandum in Support of Motion, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1957) (No. 10 Original).


70/2/71 Memorandum in Support of the California Defendants’ Objection to U. S. Temporary Exhibits Nos. 1 and 2, Maps of the Fort Mohave Indian Reservation, from the Atlas of Maps (Special Master’s Exhibit No. 12, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1957) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/72 Memorandum in Support of the California Defendants’ Objection to U. S. Temporary Exhibits Nos. 3 and 4, Maps of the Colorado River Indian Reservation, from the Atlas of Maps (Special Master’s Exhibit No. 12, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1957) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/73 Memorandum in Answer to “Notice of Hearing and Objections by the United States to Motion to Produce by California Defendants” Dated December 23, 1957, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1957) (No. 10 Original).


70/2/103 Tentative Outline of Witnesses for the California Defendants Rebuttal and Surrebuttal First List, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1958) (No. 9 Original).


70/2/105 Tentative Outline of Witnesses for the California Defendants Rebuttal and Surrebuttal Third List, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1958) (No. 9 Original).


70/2/107 Tentative Outline of Witnesses for the California Defendants Rebuttal and Surrebuttal Fifth List, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1958) (No. 9 Original).

70/2/108 Tentative Outline of Witnesses for the California Defendants Rebuttal and Surrebuttal Sixth List, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1958) (No. 9 Original).

70/2/109 Tentative Outline of Witnesses for the California Defendants Rebuttal and Surrebuttal Seventh List, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1958) (No. 9 Original).

70/2/110 Tentative Outline of Witnesses for the California Defendants Rebuttal and Surrebuttal Eighth List, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1958) (No. 9 Original).


70/2/112 Complainant’s Motion for Leave to File Amended Pleadings, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1957) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/113 Amended Bill of Complaint, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1957) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/114 Complainant’s Amended Reply to California Defendants’ Answer, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1957) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/115 Amended Answer to Petition of Intervention on Behalf of the State of Nevada, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1957) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/116 Complainant’s Amended Response to Appearance and Statement of New Mexico, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1957) (No. 10 Original).

70/2/117 Complainant’s Amended Response to Complaint and Answer in Intervention by the State of Utah, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1957) (No. 10 Original).


70/2/120 Objections by California Defendants to Arizona’s Motion for Leave to File Amended Pleadings, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1958) (No. 9 Original).

70/2/121 Memorandum of California Defendants in Reply to Arizona’s “Memorandum RE Authority of Master to Allow Amendments of Pleadings”, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1958) (No. 9 Original).

70/2/122 Memorandum of the United States RE Fort Mohave Indian Reservation Boundary, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1959) (No. 9 Original).

70/3/1 Arizona’s Proposed Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1958) (No. 9 Original).
70/3/2 Opening Brief for Arizona, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1958) (No. 9 Original).
70/3/5 Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law In Three Volumes Volume III Findings and Conclusions Relating to Specific Projects, Proposed Severally by: A. The Metropolitan Water District of Southern California, for itself and the City of Los Angeles, City of San Diego, B. Palo Verde Irrigation District, C. Coachella Valley County Water District, D. Imperial Irrigation District, accompanied by a brief on behalf of that District, April 1, 1959, Arizona v. California (U.S. October Term 1958) (No. 9 Original).
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